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This proposal was about artificial intelligence and how they plan to implement a virtual setting for testing relationships between autonomous agents. They describe how they plan to implement everything broke down into four main components. These components include the multi-agent system, the passing of information, interactive animation and integration/coordination. This allows them to evenly split up the work between the four group members working on the project.

They have a reasonable timeline with a total time of 10 weeks to complete the project. Each week is broken down into sections on what will be complete on a given week. This shows that a good deal of effort went to figuring out how long everything would take to complete. The team uses a lot of references to utilize the most accurate and useful data. Referenced data is used in the Program Plan to describe the components and their means for implementing those components.

After reading through the proposal I noticed that during the program plan and references they numbered the components whereas in the deliverables they used bullet dots. To maintain consistency, they should use the same format throughout the whole proposal. Another inconsistency is the use of italicized words. They are used in the program plan to show the new component begin discussed and in the team responsibility they used it to show the main points done by group members.

A deficient area of the proposal is the *Responsibility of team members* section. Within this section, the students are numbered but one following the next. To see each student and be more visual appealing they could have used bullet points or had all the students in a list. Another area that is deficient is the Program plan. During the explanations of the components, when they are describing the process or implementation, they don’t fully explain how they plan to go about implementing everything. During the Integration and coordination section of the components, they say that hopefully the implemented system will be effective with only the fact that there had been success in the past. This doesn’t suggest that they group will succeed using this method. The Interactive Animation section could be more descriptive on how they plan to implement the animations. The team responsibility section and the timeline are very well laid out. All the group members have a role in the project and the timeline shows all the weeks broken down to show the progression of the project over time.

I believe the person who wrote the proposal needs to investigate how they plan to full attack ever part of this project. Leaving so many things up to chance doesn’t suggest success. They have many reliable references they just need to explain how they plan to use all that information to their benefit.

If I were the decision maker, I would not accept this proposal. There are just too many things left undescribed or left out. For me to accept this proposal I would need to see how they plan to go about working and implementing everything and to show me some more evidence of success.